

Item: XIII.

## Monday, September 21, 2020

# SUBJECT: SACSCOC STANDARD ON GOVERNING BOARD EVALUATION

#### **PROPOSED BOARD ACTION**

Information Only

#### **BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

Section 4 of the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools Commission on Colleges (SACSCOC) 2018 Principles of Accreditation requires governing boards to define and regularly evaluate their responsibilities and expectations as a Board. This Board's responsibilities and expectations, values, and procedures are defined in Article IX, Section 7 of the Florida Constitution; Florida Board of Governors (BOG) Regulations; applicable provisions of Florida Statutes; Florida Atlantic University Regulations; and this Board's Operations Policies and Procedures.

This Board should complete two self-evaluations – one by 2021, another by 2023 – before the University's next SACS accreditation reaffirmation in 2024. SACS provides recommendation for the evaluation process, but the details of how the Board will evaluate itself are largely left to the Board's discretion.

SACS requires that institutions within states like Florida that have more than one level of governing board must include self-evaluation data for all board levels. To facilitate SUS institutions' compliance with this requirement, the BOG conducted its first biannual self-evaluation in 2019. A survey comprised of the attached questions was completed electronically by the BOG members, and the results were tabulated, presented, and discussed by the full BOG.

To initiate this Board's self-evaluation, the administration is sharing the BOG's survey and asking Board members to consider it as a starting point for the development of this Board's survey. We will then conduct a follow-up conversation at the November meeting to finalize the survey and any other elements of the self-evaluation, with the expectation of providing it to the Trustees later in the year or early in 2021.

### IMPLEMENTATION PLAN/DATE

N/A

N/A

Supporting Documentation: Florida Board of Governors 2019 Biannual Self Evaluation Survey

Presented by: David Kian, VP, Legal Affairs

Phone: 561-297-3007

The State University System of Florida Board of Governors Biannual Self Evaluation
Every even year, each member of the Board of Governors will complete and electronic survey to evaluate the Board's performance and understanding of its roles and responsibilities. The outcome of the survey will be shared a the board meeting immediately following survey completion.
1. Board member responsibilities are clear.
Strongly agree Disagree
Agree Strongly disagree
Neither agree nor disagree
<ul> <li>2. The Board is knowledgeable about state and regional higher education needs.</li> <li>Strongly agree</li> <li>Agree</li> <li>Somewhat agree</li> <li>Neither agree nor disagree</li> <li>Somewhat disagree</li> <li>Disagree</li> <li>Strongly Disagree</li> <li>3. The Board has protocols for responding to citizens and the media.</li> </ul>
Strongly agree     Disagree
Agree Strongly disagree
Neither agree nor disagree
4. The Board is knowledgeable about the mission and purposes of each SUS institution.
Strongly agree Disagree
Agree Strongly disagree
Neither agree nor disagree

5. The Board ensures that its mission at higher education and workforce needs.	nd goals are sufficiently responsive to current and future state
Strongly agree	Disagree
Agree	Strongly disagree
Neither agree nor disagree	
6. The Board is familiar with the strategi	ic and accountability plans of each institution.
Strongly agree	Disagree
Agree	Strongly disagree
Neither agree nor disagree	
7. Board members make decisions after	r thorough discussion and exploration of many perspectives.
Strongly agree	Disagree
Agree	Strongly disagree
Neither agree nor disagree	
8. The Board Chair regularly evaluates	the Chancellor.
Strongly agree	Disagree
Agree	Strongly disagree
Neither agree nor disagree	
9. The Board understands the fiscal cor	nditions of the SUS institutions.
Strongly agree	Disagree
Agree	Strongly disagree
Neither agree nor disagree	
10. Through the accountability and strat in fulfilling their missions.	tegic plans, the Board monitors the effectiveness of the institutions
Strongly agree	Disagree
Agree	Strongly disagree
Neither agree nor disagree	

Disagree
Strongly disagree
nd the perception of conflicts of interest.
Disagree
Strongly disagree
nents of SUS institutions.
Disagree
Strongly disagree
e agencies and legislators.
Disagree
Strongly disagree
Board and the institutions.
Disagree
Strongly disagree
Board members feel engaged, respected, and empowere
Disagree
Strongly disagroo
Strongly disagree

18. What change or action would most improve the Board's performance?

19. What do you think the Board does well?

20. What are areas in which the Board could improve?